

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TANEISHA LAIMONT, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

RAMDE RAKESH, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 20-cv-04702-VC

**ORDER DENYING MOTION TO
DISMISS, MOTION TO STRIKE, AND
MOTION FOR A MORE DEFINITE
STATEMENT; QUASHING SERVICE
AND ORDERING PROPER SERVICE**

Re: Dkt. No. 27

The plaintiff has not met her burden to show that she effectively served the defendants. In this situation, the Court has discretion to dismiss the case or quash the ineffective service that has been made and give the plaintiff another opportunity to effectuate service. *See Bernhoft Law Firm, S.C. v. Pollock*, 2012 WL 5511777, at *2 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 14, 2012). As discussed at the hearing, the case will not be dismissed, and the motion to dismiss is denied, but the earlier service is quashed and the plaintiff has until April 15, 2021 to serve the defendants. The defendants must respond within 14 days of service. The motion to strike and motion for a more definite statement are denied as moot, given the threshold jurisdictional issue regarding service.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 19, 2021



VINCE CHHABRIA
United States District Judge